The Effects of Land and Water Use on Threatened Migratory Species

Internship Report

Julia **Kaps**Bonn, December 13, 1999
Center for Development Research
Walter-Flex-Str. 3
53113 Bonn

Page 2

2

1 Introduction: Biodiversity Loss

Species extinction and temporary loss of biodiversity are elemental parts of the world's history. The past two centuries, however, have seen an increasing rate of extinction due to the

global population explosion and the resulting ever growing need for land and resources. Human expansion and its consequences have resulted in an extinction rate 1000 to 10000 times higher than the historical rate (Wilson, 1988). For the next century, this would mean a

projected loss of 20 to 50 percent of the world's species.

Unfortunately, many countries with a large biodiversity also have extremely high population

growth rates. Map 1 shows global biodiversity expressed in the total of mammals, birds, reptiles, and amphibians found per 10000km

2

, as well as the change in population density from 1970 to 1998.

The 25 highest biodiversity countries, outlined in red in Map 1, are grouped in Table 1. Their

biodiversity, percentage of threatened species, and the change in population density from 1970-98 are listed.

Page 3

3

Country Biodiversity

[species/

10000km

2

threatened

species [%]

change in

population

density [%]

(1970-98)

1 Bhutan

353 10 89

2 Honduras

361 5

137

3 Gambia

373 2

165

4 Nepal

373 16 102

5 El Salvador

377 2 68

6 Malaysia

383 23 97

7 Mexico

384 32 89

8 Kenya

387 19 152

9 Nicaragua

387 4

126

10 Burundi

398 3 84

11 Malawi

402 4

129

12 Singapore

408 4 68

13 Suriname

410 4 11

14 Venezuela

438 14 117

15 Swaziland

460 2

127

16 Belize

471 2 87

17 Guatemala

472 4

106

18 Uganda

477 6

110

19 Indonesia

484 52 72

20 Rwanda

484 3 77

21 Peru

502 24 88

22 Colombia

675 15 81

23 Panama

688 5 84

24 Costa Rica

690 5

122

25 Ecuador

817 11 104

Table 1: Biodiversity, threatened species, and change in population density

Source: FAO Database (1999); World Resources (1999)

Over the past 28 years, the mean increase of population density for these countries was 100

percent while the mean of threatened species is 11 percent. Since high population density is

naturally associated with intensive land and water usage, the population increase has and will

put great pressure on valuable ecosystems, such as tropical forests or wetlands, and their resident species.

2 Migratory Species

All species are affected by mankind in one way or another. However, migratory species are

especially vulnerable to threat by humans and their various forms of land and water use. By

nature, migratory species inhabit not one but several habitats at different times. They are, therefore, at risk in their breeding and wintering grounds, as well as on their migration routes.

and in their resting places. This makes them more vulnerable to endangerment than many non

migratory species which remain in a single habitat. Furthermore, migratory species are more

difficult to protect. Their crossing of international borders during migration calls for international protection as well as protection on a national level. Unfortunately, on an international level difficulties often arise when it comes to reaching agreements on the necessity or the form of protection for endangered species.

To investigate the effects of land and water use on migratory species, several species were

selected from the **GROMS** (Global Register of Migratory Species) database. They are representatives from land, air, and sea from all continents and are listed in Table 2.

Page 4

English name

Spheniscus humboldti

Humboldt penguin

Grus americana

Whooping crane

Grus japonensis

Red-crowned crane

Eubalena glacialis

Northern right whale

Platanista gangetica

Ganges river dolphin

Inia geoffrensis

South American river dolphin

Phoca caspica

Caspian seal

Monachus monachus

Mediterranean monk seal

Arctocephalus townsendi

Guadalupe fur seal

Dugong dugon

Sea cow

Addax nasomaculatus

Addax

Oryx dammah

Scimitar-horned oryx

Equus grevyi

Grevy's zebra

Gorilla gorilla beringei

Mountain gorilla

Table 2: Select migratory species

Source: **GROMS**(1999)

Map 2 shows the global distribution of these **GROMS** species.

In order to examine the causes of endangerment for the selected migratory species, an assessment of human land and water use and their consequences must be made.

Page 5

5

3 Land and Water Use

There are different forms of land and water use, all of which can affect and even threaten species. One can differentiate between types of usage that directly affect a species, such as

hunting or fishing, and other types which affect a species more indirectly by altering its environment. The latter comprises agriculture, forestry, fishery, industry, urban development,

and tourism. Habitats are altered or destroyed, thereby making them less or no longer suitable

for the affected species.

The obvious consequences of land and water use, such as the conversion of a natural habitat

for human purposes, are usually accompanied by secondary forms of threat. In most cases, a

conversion equals habitat loss. Sometimes, if the habitat is still inhabitable, it simply amounts

to degradation.

A side effect of any form of land or water use is disturbance. This can lead to less successful

breeding or hindrance in the hunt for prey. Another large problem is pollution. It can render

habitats uninhabitable or cause disease in affected populations. Livestock farming not only

claims land for grazing, thereby shrinking the size of a species' habitat. It can also induce competition for grazing land and watering places, thereby creating stress and forcing the species to move to less ideal areas. Fishery has very unique side effects. Not only has overfishing of the world's seas decreased the amounts of available prey for many marine animals, but entanglement in fishing nets and long lines and consequent drowning has become

a leading cause of death amongst marine mammals.

Individually, these numerous forms of land and water use are threats for endangered species.

However, generally they do not appear isolatedly so that most species are affected by multiple

factors, making their protection more and more difficult. In the case of migratory species, this

problem is even more dramatic since the alleviation of threat in one habitat does not guarantee

survival. To protect a migratory species there must be threat reduction in all of its quarters:

breeding and wintering grounds, as well as on the migration routes and in resting areas.

4 Causes of Endangerment

There seem to be two main causes of endangerment to the selected species from the **GROMS**

database: hunting and habitat degradation or loss. The former is more easily noticeable, directly decimating species numbers. The second is a more indirect threat which can stem from numerous sources. Hunting can be divided into commercial hunting and hunting on

smaller scale, whereas this latter form can have several objectives: subsistence hunting for

meat and leather or sport and trophy hunting.

Commercial hunting was, until recently, the main threat to most endangered marine mammals. Until the 1860s, whaling fleets in the northern Atlantic greatly decimated Northern

right whale (

Eubalena glacialis

) populations. After that time, hunting this particular whale

ceased to be profitable since the population had become so small. The current population is

about 2000 individuals compared to over 80000 once found in the Atlantic. In spite of protection through IWC (International Whaling Commission), recovery has been slow due to

the long gestation period of over one year as well as long intervals between calves which

be as long as six years

(http://www.whales.magna.com.au/DISCOVER/RIGHT/rightg.htm)

Today, the main threat to the Northern right whale is collision with vessels which accounts for

approximately one third of all deaths

(http://www.vineyard.er.usgs.gov/rwdoc/index.htmg)

The Guadalupe fur seal (

Arctocephalus townsendi

) is also a victim of commercial hunting.

Thought to be extinct in 1928, it was rediscovered in 1954. Perhaps 6000 individuals remain

Page 6

6

on the rocky beaches of the Isla Guadalupe off the coast of Baja California. Today, this fur

seal is protected but the small population and resulting slim genetic variety have caused a genetic bottleneck. Inbreeding may result in lower birth rates or in weaker pups (http://www.

wcmc.org.uk/ species/ data/species sheets/guadalup.htm)

Another motive for hunting arises when species are seen as competitors. This is the case for

the Mediterranean monk seal (

Monachus monachus

) and the Steller's sea lion (

Eumetobias

iubatus

). The latter is found on exposed rocky beaches in the Pacific ocean and the Sea of Japan Afraid of less fish catch, fishermen deliberately kill the sea lions. Many individuals drown entangled in fishing nets. Those that escape this fate are faced with a reduced food supply due to overfishing. Stress due to less food and disturbance from humans has lead to

outbreak of disease in several populations thereby increasing concern for this species (http://www.wcmc.org.uk/species/data/species sheets/stellers.htm)

.

As in the case of many marine animals, the main cause of decline in the populations of the

Humboldt penguin (

Spheniscus humboldti

) was hunting. In the 19

th

century, sailors hunted

this bird for sport. Egg collection also reduced population size and the harvesting of valuable

guano caused disturbance to many breeding populations. Today, the Humboldt penguin is threatened by food reduction, entanglement in fishing gear, and pollution through oil spills.

The El Niño of 1982-83 caused an additional reduction of 65 percent in the Peruvian population when the warm currents caused fish schools to move to colder waters

(http://www.bagheera.com/inthewild/van_anim_penguin.htm)

. Hundreds of penguins died of

starvation.

The Caspian seal (

Phoca caspica

) is not only affected by hunting but by habitat degradation

as well. These are the two leading causes of endangerment for migratory species.

Approximately half a million seals inhabit sandbanks and exposed ice surfaces in the Caspian

Sea. Up to 65000 seals are harvested annually

(http://www.wcmc.org.uk/species/data/species_sheets/caspian.htm)

. Like the Steller's sea lion, this seal is faced with the reduction of prey due to overfishing and entanglement in fishing nets. However, the degradation of the Caspian

Sea ecosystem may pose the greatest risk in the future. The Sea is bordered by Iran and by

former states of the Soviet Union, some of which are in political turmoil and all of which are

not fully developed. Necessary legislation and funds for the protection of valuable ecosystems

are often lacking. In addition, the area is rich in oil and projects are already in action or planned for the construction of pipelines which may put even more pressure on the Caspian

seal and its environment.

The sea cow (

Dugong dugon

) which ranges from Eastern Africa to Vanuatu is another sea

mammal threatened by habitat destruction and a reduced food supply. It feeds on sea grass

meadows which are found in shallow tropical and sub-tropical waters. These under water

meadows have been disappearing due to pollution from coastal run-off, eutrophication, and

mechanical damage from motorized vessels

(http://www.gbrmpa.gov.au/corp_site/info_services/publications/what_is_a_dugong.html)

. Lastly, sea cows are especially vulnerable to

collision with vessels which can result in lethal injuries because they like to float in shallow

waters.

Most land species are affected less by hunting and more so by habitat degradation An exception to this rule are several North African artiodactyls which have experienced sharp

declines in numbers due to hunting in the past three decades. The addax (Addax

nasomaculatus

) is hunted for meat and leather, whereas the slender-horned gazelle (Gazella

leptoceros

) is shot mostly for its horns

(http://www.animalinfo.org/species.htm)

. The pressure

on these gazelles has come up quite recently, coinciding with the use of motorized vehicles

and modern firearms for hunting. The scimitar-horned oryx (

Oryx dammah

) is also affected

by this relatively new form of hunting. However, in its case the reduction of its habitat seems

to be the more pressing cause for concern. Overgrazing by livestock and destruction of tree

Page 7

7

cover have altered the oryx's natural habitat. It is listed as critically endangered with less than

200 individuals left roaming the region between Sahara and Sahel

(http://www.animal

info.org/species/artiperi/oryxdamm.htm)

Grevy's zebra (

Equus grevyi

) has also become recently affected by habitat destruction

(http://www.wcmc.org.uk/species/data/species_sheets/grevy's.htm)

. It can be found in

Ethiopia and in some protected areas in northern Kenya. Unlike in the case of the oryx's

habitat, the zebra's has not solely been altered through livestock. Intensive and unregulated

tourism has lead to habitat degradation in several Kenyan parks. In addition, the tourists can

cause disturbance in breeding areas sometimes restricting access to watering sites. However,

the initial cause for decline in the zebra's numbers was the great demand for its hides in the

1970s making it a veritable "fashion victim"

(http://www.animalinfo.org/species/artiperi/equugrev.htm)

.

The Ganges river dolphin (

Platanista gangetica

) and the South American river dolphin (

Inia

geoffrensis

) are both examples of water mammals threatened by the alteration of their habitat rather than by hunting. Dams in the Ganges River system prevent migration and communication between populations

(http://www.animaldiversity.ummz.umich.edu/accounts/platanista/p._gangetica.html)

. In the future, this may lead to genetic bottlenecks when

populations becomes too small. In the Southern American river systems, construction of hydroelectric power plants have also separated populations, thereby creating the same genetic

risk. In addition, these plants have influenced the distribution of fish, diminishing the dolphin's prey

(http://www.animaldiversity.ummz.umich.edu/accounts/i._geoffrensis.html)

.

The whooping crane (

Grus americana

) is another species severely threatened by the loss of

(http://www.npwrc.usgs.gov/resource/distr/BIRDS/Cranes/grusamer.htm)

. Today,

there are an estimated 200 cranes left. This species is an ideal example of the vulnerability of

migrating species. It faces habitat loss in both its wintering and in its nesting grounds. In the

United States and Canada the conversion of wetlands, which are important nesting grounds

for the whooping crane, into agricultural land have caused a sharp decline in the crane population. Wintering areas along the Atlantic and Gulf coasts have also been converted to

make room either for agriculture or human settlements. Increased human presence in these

areas have caused additional threats such as pollution and disturbance. The whooping crane is

extremely vulnerable to disturbance which seems to directly affect their breeding success. On

top of this, collision with power and utility lines during migration are quite common (http://wcmc.org.uk/species/data/species sheets/whoopcra.htm)

The whooping crane's Asian cousin, the red-crowned crane (Grus japonensis

), faces similar

problems. It inhabits extensive and deep wetlands of Eastern Asia. These wetlands have been

increasingly turned into agriculturally used land leaving the cranes with less habitat and more

vulnerable to disturbance. Overfishing has reduced the available amount of prey and pesticides from farming have led to the poisoning

(http:///www.npwrc.usgs.gov/resource/distr/BIRDS/Cranes/grusjapo.htm)

Lastly, this leaves the mountain gorilla (

Gorilla gorilla beringei

). This primate is a resident of

the country triangle of Rwanda, Uganda, and the Democratic Republic of Congo. Its mountainous habitat of montane and riverine forest has been partially converted into the Parc

National des Volcans creating a sanctuary for the remaining 600 or so individuals. Until the

advent of civil war in Rwanda, the gorillas were well protected and tourism brought enough

revenue to maintain the park. The war, though it claimed the lives of only two gorillas,

put increasing pressure on this species. Refugees cut firewood for fuel and poaching has become less well monitored. In addition, all three countries have enormous population growth

rates creating the need for more space for agricultural use and settlements (http://www.wcmc.org.uk/species/data/ species_sheets/gorilla.htm)

Page 8

8

5 Africa: Dynamic Changes in Land and Water Use

In order to better understand the causes of threat to migratory species, the dynamic changes of

several forms of land and water use were investigated for the period between 1970 and today.

The change in population density (1970-98), agriculturally used land (1970-97), livestock density (1970-99), and fish catch (1970-96) indicate where actual and potential threats for migratory species may lie. The dynamic comparison was restricted to Africa due to the numerous species from the **GROMS** database native to this continent.

5.1 Population Density

Over the past 28 years, the mean population density increase for the African continent was

113 percent, 21 percent higher than the mean global increase. Even so, population density varies greatly from country to country. The Saharan and Sahelian countries average less than

15 persons per km

2

, whereas in Rwanda or Burundi, for example, more than 230 persons crowd one square kilometer. Nonetheless, for migratory species, this enormous population

increase must translate into less available habitat due to new and expanding human settlements and activities. In Map 3, population density (1998), population density change

(1970-98), and affected species are portrayed.

The mountain gorilla (habitat: yellow) is native to countries with very high population density

increases. The Democratic Republic of Congo has the highest increase of 142 percent, followed by Uganda (110%) and Rwanda (77%). However, Congo has the lowest density of

only 21 persons per km

2

, followed by Uganda with 87 persons per km

2

, and Rwanda with 251

Page 9

9

persons per km

2

. This means that more and more land must be converted to accommodate the ever growing population which has and will result in the loss of gorilla habitat. The sea cow, although not a land habitant, also faces growing pressures from population increase. Native to the East African coast it ranges (habitat: turquoise) from Egyptto Mozambique and Madagascar. The sea cow must cope with population increases which are

usually especially pronounced along coastlines. This, in turn, is accompanied by more pollution, boat traffic, and disturbance all of which are potentially threatening factors to the

sea cow. An influx of tourists along the East African coast may also play a harmful role.

Unfortunately, there is not sufficient data for tourism intensity and growth available at this

time

Another sea mammal, the Mediterranean monk seal, has suffered greatly under the pressures

of population increase. North African countries with remaining monk seal populations (habitat: gray-green) all have population density increases of over 80 percent. Like in Eastern

Africa, this causes more pollution and disturbance. These seals are extremely sensitive to disturbance and have been affected by the growing tourism industry along the Mediterranean

coast. They are not only subjected to a larger human presence, but to more noise from motorized vessels as well. This has left them with very little undisturbed and isolated habitat

where they can effectively breed and nurse.

52 Agriculture

In 1997, the average of agriculturally used land worldwide was 16 percent. For Africa, this

figure lies at 12 percent, a number quite high considering the vast desert expanses covering

this continent. On a global level, the increase in agriculturally used land over the past 27 years

(1970-97) was one percent, while the increase for Africa was two percent. Most African countries did not show any increase; however, this was compensated by several countries with

increases of over five percent. Map 4 shows agriculturally used land (1997), change in agriculturally used land (1970-97), and select migratory species.

Page 10

10

The country triangle home to the mountain gorilla (habitat: yellow) showed significant increases in land use for agricultural purposes. Forty-four percent of Rwanda's area are used

agriculturally with an increase of 17 percent. Uganda also showed a substantial increase of 8

percent with 28 percent of its area used for agricultural purposes. Solely Congo did not increase the percentage of agriculturally used land over the past years while just three percent

of its area are used for this purpose. This puts an enormous pressure on the gorilla's habitat in

the two former countries. Forests must be cleared in order to gain agriculturally suitable land.

Additionally, the scores of refugees in camps in Congo have intensified this pressure Firewood is cut for fuel, thereby accelerating the rate of habitat loss, and encroaching human

settlements cause disturbance to the primates.

53 Livestock

The past 29 years have seen a large growth in livestock density (total of camels, cattle, goats,

horses, pigs, and sheep per km

2

). On a global level, the average increase amounted to 119

percent, while in Africa it was 87 percent. Map 5 shows livestock density (1999), change in

livestock density (1970-1999), and select migratory species.

Approximately 34 heads of livestock are held per km

2

in Africa. This number seems small in

comparison with 71 head per km

2

worldwide. However, an increase of 87 percent must have

necessitated the conversion of land into grazing area. Wild animals would need to compete

with livestock for grassland and water accessibility.

This is the case for Grevy's zebra. Although both Ethiopia and Kenya do not have very high

livestock density increases, 20 and 60 percent respectively, there have been growing difficulties for zebras to access watering places. The scimitar-horned oryx has also felt pressure from higher livestock density. Now only found in Niger and Chad, countries with

low livestock density of 11 percent and increase of 2 and 45 percent respectively, this

Page 11

11

artiodactyl is threatened by habitat degradation through overgrazing. In addition, tree cover

which the oryx uses for resting has been largely destroyed. The mountain gorilla's habitat has

had to withstand growing livestock density. With increases of three (Congo), 52 (Uganda),

and 69 (Rwanda) percent, land must have been made available for grazing.

54 Fishery

While the world's marine fishing nations have produced a fish catch 222 percent larger in 1996 than in 1970, African fishing nations increased their total catch by 322 percent. Such an

increase involves more vessels as well as more fishing nets and lines. Heavier shipping traffic

causes more disturbance and increases the likelihood of collision with marine animals.

fishing gear raises the risk of entanglement and a larger fish catch leaves less prey for marine

animals.

The sea cow is threatened by heavier boat traffic. Not only is there a higher risk of collision,

disturbance is increased, more pollution is caused, and mechanical damage to sea grass meadows may be more pronounced. East African countries have increased their fish catch by

170 percent (Table 3). This heightens the possibility of entanglement in fishing gear.

Country Total marine

catch [Mt]

1970

Total marine

catch [Mt]

1996

% change in

marine fish

catch from

1970 to 1996

Species

Morocco

244637 744374

204

M.

monachus

Algeria

24600 102963

319

M.

monachus

Tunisia

21634 72600 236

M.

monachus

Libya

5500 34400 525

M.

monachus

Egypt

25400 104517

311

D.

dugon

Sudan

800 4000 400

D.

dugon

Ethiopia

14900 1642 -89

D.

dugon

Somalia

5000 14250 185

D.

dugon

Kenya

7600 3990 -48

D.

dugon

Tanzania

19500 38561

98

D.

dugon

Madagascar

11000 70531 541

D.

dugon

Mozambique

16100 10033 -38

D.

dugon

Table 3: Total marine fish catch 1970 and 1996 [Mt] and change in catch [%]

Source: FAO database (1999)

The Mediterranean monk seal is similarly affected by boat traffic, disturbance, and fishing

gear However, in its home countries the fish catch has increased by 321 percent (Table 3).

This greatly affects the amount of available prey. In the past, this seal has become the victim

of deliberate killings by fishermen.

55 Hunting

Unfortunately, there is not sufficient data or statistics on hunting. Therefore, it is impossible

to detect an increase in hunting activity or more prey. Nonetheless, it is certain that several

migrating species have become endangered due to hunting. Grevy's zebra, for instance, only

became endangered because it was hunted for its hides which were very fashionable in the

1970s. The addax and the slender-horned gazelle are both endangered due to hunting This threat has only surfaced recently with the advent of modern firearms and the use of motorized

vehicles. Nomads, military personnel, and oil surveyors hunt these species for meat, leather,

horns, or just for sport. The slender-horned gazelle, once one of the most common Saharan

Page 12

12

gazelles, now numbers only about 5000. The addax numbers even less at around 250 to 500

Lastly, the critically endangered scimitar-horned oryx is also threatened by hunting under modern conditions. It is estimated that less than 200 individuals remain. The war in Rwanda

has left mountain gorillas less protected and, therefore, made them easier prey for poachers

and pet traders who try to sell gorilla infants. Often, entire gorilla families are slaughtered to

catch a single infant.

6 Summary

This overview has attempted to clarify the causes of endangerment of migratory species. It

seems that the leading threats are hunting and population dependent activities. Hunting does

not seem to be population dependent since no significant increase due to population growth

can be detected. Several species, like most whales and several pinnipeds, are endangered because they were once hunted commercially and have since not been able to recover.

species are killed deliberately for fear of competition. Finally, as in the case of several North

African artio dactyls, endangerment arrived with the advent of modern firearms and motorized

vehicles.

All in all, the real culprit seems to be population growth. Not only does higher population density call for more land for human settlements. It also increases all activities associated with

daily life as well as recreation. In order to satisfy growing demand for food and other resources, agricultural production, livestock production, and fishery must increase their yield.

Consequently, land must be converted for crops or grazing, shipping traffic is increased, more

fishing nets are used, and pollution and disturbance become more and more noticeable One

or all of these factors affect any given migratory species at any time in any of their habitats.

The influences wrought on by these factors can range from minor habitat changes, like the

construction of a power pole, to complete habitat destruction.

7 Future Threats

In the future, there will be continuing demand for more land and resources, thereby intensifying threats to migratory species. In all likelihood, more species will gain endangered

status while others become extinct. The projected average annual population growth rate for

Africa is 2.6 percent. This is well above the projected global growth rate of 1.6 percent. Table

4 shows the projected annual growth rates for those African countries that are home to the

selected migratory species.

Page 13

13

Country

average annual

rate of change

of population in

% (1995-2000)

Species

Algeria

2.3

M. monachus, A. nasomaculatus,

G. leptocerus

Chad

2.63

O. dammah, A. nasomaculatus,

G. leptoceros

Dem. Rep. Congo

2.57

G. g beringei

Egypt

1.89

G. leptoceros, D. dugon

Eritrea

3.78 D.

dugon

Ethiopia

2.45

E. grevyi, D. dugon

Kenya

2

E. grevyi, D. dugon

Libya

2.42

G. leptoceros, M. monachus

Madagascar

2.97 D.

dugon

Mali

2.44

A. nasomaculatus, G. leptoceros

Mauritania

2.73

A. nasomaculatus, G. leptoceros

Morocco

1.76 M.

monachus

Mozambique

2.48 D.

dugon

Niger

3.19

O. dammah, A. nasomaculatus,

G. leptoceros

Rwanda

7.71

G. g beringei

Somalia

4.16 D.

dugon

Sudan

2.05

A. nasomaculatus, G. leptoceros,

D. dugon

Tanzania

2.27 D.

dugon

Tunisia

1.39

G. leptoceros, M. monachus

Uganda

2.8

G. g beringei

Western Sahara

3.38 M.monachus

Table 4: Projected annual population growth rates (1995-2000) [%]

Source: World Population Prospects: The 1998 Revision,

Vol. I:

Comprehensive Tables (UN Publications)

Once again, Rwanda stands out amongst all other countries. The unusually high population

growth rate of 7.71 percent foretells growing pressure on the mountain gorilla's habitat and on

the species itself. The same can be said of all other selected species, considering that the lowest growth rate is 1,39 percent in Tunisia. Unless population growth rates are curbed, pressure from increased land, water, and resource use will prove too great for some species

and many others will become endangered.

8 Measures for Future Protection

The key to the protection of the migratory species examined, as well as other species, is the

reduction of population growth. This would hopefully reduce future conversion of land, the

use of resources, and all of their side-effects, thereby leaving endangered species with more

habitat. Another important step would be the creation of more protected areas, in which all

human activities are either banned or strictly regulated. Better enforced or new legislation for

the protection of migratory species may also be an important step for conservation. In order to protect the scimitar-horned oryx or the addax, for instance, stricter protection

be enforced since existing laws are extremely lax. Unfortunately, this would prove to be extremely difficult, considering the vast expanses these species cover. In the case of the Northern right whale or other marine mammals, regulation of shipping traffic would be the

best measure to prevent deadly collisions. However, this can only be attempted once

Page 14

14

researchers can determine the location of critical nursing and breeding habitats. Better regulation of tourism in Kenyan parks may help ensure the survival of Grevy's zebra. Similar

measures could help create sanctuaries for the shy Mediterranean monk seal. This could help

this species recover from its critically endangered status. Unfortunately, the mountain gorilla

does not face bright prospects: a rapidly growing population, increased agriculture and livestock density, and no visible change regarding these factors in the near future. Hopefully,

better understanding of the causes of endangerment will help save some of these species from

extinction.

9 Outlook

In the future, a more detailed study of the causes of endangerment will be possible.

GROMS

will continue adding new species to its register. This will broaden the field of study and will

most likely present new forms of threat to migratory species. However, much more detailed

assessment of the threats to these species could be made if there would be more information

available. For instance, critical areas could be described more accurately if population or agricultural statistics would be available on a regional level, rather than on a country level.

Additional data, such as world tourism intensity or hunting statistics, will also enable a more

accurate portrayal of threatening factors.

Page 15

15

10 Literature

Anonym (1997). Biodiversität und Tourismus - Konflikte und Lösungsansätze an den Küsten

der Weltmeere. Bundesamt für Naturschutz. Springer Verlag, Heidelberg.

Bailey, R. G. (1996). Ecosystem Geography. Springer, New York.

Barbier, E. B., Acreman, M., Knowler, D. (1997). Economic Valuation of Wetlands - A Guide for Policy Makers and Planners. Ramsar Convention Bureau.

FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization) Database: http://www.apps.fao.org/cgibin/nph-

db.pl

Global Registry of Migratory Species (**GROMS**): http://www.biologie.uni-freiburg.de/data/riede/**groms**.html

http://animaldiversity.ummz.umich.edu/accounts/inia/i._geoffrensis.html (updated: 8.2.96;

viewed 17.11.9)

http://animaldiversity.ummz.umich.edu/accounts/platanista/p._gangetica.html (updated: 8.2.96; viewed: 17.11.9)

http://whales.magna.com.au/DISCOVER/RIGHT/rightg.html (updated: ?; viewed: 16.11.99)

http://www.animalinfo.org/species/artiperi/addanaso.htm (updated: July 99; viewed: 16.11.99)

http://www.animalinfo.org/species/artiperi/equugrev.htm (updated: July 99; viewed: 16.11.99)

http://www.animalinfo.org/species/artiperi/gazelept.htm (updated: Nov 99; viewed: 16 11 99)

http://www.animalinfo.org/species/artiperi/oryxdamm.htm (updated: May 99; viewed: 16.11.99)

http://www.bagheera.com/inthewild/van_anim_gorilla.htm (updated: 7.2.96; viewed: 16.11.99)

http://www.bagheera.com/inthewild/van_anim_monkseal.htm (updated: 07.02.1996; viewed:

16.11.1999)

http://www.bagheera.com/inthewild/van_anim_penguin.htm (updated: 07.02.96; viewed: 16.11.99)

http://www.gbrmpa.gov.au/corp_site/info_services/publications/What_is_a_dugong.html (updated: 16.11.99; viewed: 18.11.99)

http://www.marwell.org.uk/anim-1.htm (updated: January 99; viewed: 16.11.99)

 $http://www.npwrc.usgs.gov/resource/distr/BIRDS/Cranes/grusamer.htm\ (updated:\ ?;$

viewed:

16.11.99)

Page 16

16

http://www.npwrc.usgs.gov/resource/distr/BIRDS/Cranes/grusjapo.htm (updated: ?; viewed:

16.11.99)

http://www.un.org/Depts/unsd/social/poptn.htm (updated: ?; visited: 9.12.99)

http://www.vineyard.er.usgs.gov/rwdoc/index.html (updated: ?; viewed: 6.12.99)

http://www.wcmc.org.uk/species/data/species_sheets/caspian.htm (updated: 13.05.98; viewed: 16.11.99)

http://www.wcmc.org.uk/species/data/species_sheets/gorilla.htm (updated: 13.05.98; viewed:

16.11.99)

http://www.wcmc.org.uk/species/data/species_sheets/grevy's.htm (updated: 13.05.98; viewed: 17.11.1999)

 $http://www.wcmc.org.uk/species/data/species_sheets/guadalup.htm\ (updated:\ 13.05.98;$

viewed: 16.11.99)

http://www.wcmc.org.uk/species/data/species_sheets/medmonk.htm (updated:

13.05.1998;

viewed: 16.11.1999)

http://www.wcmc.org.uk/species/data/species_sheets/stellers.htm (updated: 13.05.98;

viewed: 16.11.99)

http://www.wcmc.org.uk/species/data/species_sh